UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY	
LANSDALE HAYNES,	
Plaintiff v. PORT AUTHORITY TRANS HUDSON CORP.,	17 Civ.  JURY TRIAL REQUESTED
Defendant	

# **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, by his attorneys, Flynn & Wietzke, PC, complains of the Defendant and alleges:

### THE PARTIES

- 1. The plaintiff is a resident of the State of New Jersey, County of Union, and City of Plainfield.
- 2. The defendant is a railroad carrier corporation providing railroad transportation, in interstate commerce by rail and operates a railroad system and railroad yards within the jurisdiction of this Court and in various other States, with a usual place of business in New York.
- 3. Prior to August 27, 2014, and at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant employed the plaintiff as a Signal Repairman under its direction, supervision and control and in furtherance of defendant's business in interstate commerce.
- 4. Prior to August 27, 2014 and at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant maintained, operated and controlled the Kearny Pocket, Kearney, New Jersey which contained defendant's tracks, rails, switches, sidings, roadbeds and appurtenances thereto, over, through and upon which the defendant operated engines, trains and cars under its control and direction.
- 5. During all times herein mentioned, the defendant was and is engaged in interstate commerce by providing railroad transportation among multiple states.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 6. The plaintiff brings the First Cause of Action against the defendant for violation of the Federal Employers' liability Act, 45 U.S.C. §51 et seq. (FELA).
  - 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction in this case pursuant to 45 U.S.C. §56.
- 8. Venue is proper in this District because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District, because defendant resides in this District and/or because defendant does business in this District.

#### **FACTS**

- 9. At the time of the defendant's FELA violations, the plaintiff was employed by the defendant and qualified as an employee within the meaning of 45 U.S.C. § 51.
- 10. On August 27, 2014, the plaintiff was working as a Signal Repairman at the direction and training of defendant, when plaintiff was drilling through a copper buss bar with a rechargeable electric drill, the bit caught and the drill spun counter-clockwise, whereby plaintiff injured his right hand/wrist.
- 11. Defendant's conduct, more specifically set forth below, caused, in whole or in part, the plaintiff to suffer various physical, psychological and economic harms.
  - 12. Plaintiff's injuries include, but are not limited to, tears to ligaments in his right hand/wrist

## COUNT I Violation of FELA

- 13. The plaintiff adopts by reference and realleges each and every allegation set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if set forth under this cause of action.
- 14. This Cause of Action is brought under the Federal Employers' Liability Act, (45 U.S.C. Sec. 51 et seq.).
- 15. On or about August 27, 2014, while the plaintiff, an employee of the defendant, was in the performance of his duties as a Signal Repairman at the Kearny Pocket, Kearny, New Jersey, the

Case 2:17-cv-06393-CCC-MF Document 1 Filed 08/24/17 Page 3 of 3 PageID: 3

defendant, its agents, servants and employees, so negligently and carelessly conducted themselves

toward the plaintiff:

a. in failing to provide plaintiff with a reasonably safe place to work;

b. in failing to provide plaintiff with proper tools;

in failing to warn plaintiff of defective drill;

in failing to provide a gas drill;

in failing to provide a T handle for the drill;

in failing to maintain plaintiff's work place; and, so negligently failed and neglected

to enact and enforce safety rules, regulations, procedures, and practices for activities

carried out by its personnel at the said place, that all of the foregoing brought about

severe and disabling injuries to plaintiff, as set forth above.

16. The relevant injuries occurred while the plaintiff was acting in the furtherance of

interstate commerce or in work closely or substantially affecting the same.

17. The plaintiff was damaged thereby in the sum of \$500,000.00.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment against the defendant on Count I in the sum of FIVE

HUNDRED THOUSAND (\$500,000.00) DOLLARS; together with the costs and disbursements of this

action.

Respectfully submitted

FLYNN & WIETZKE, PC

1205 Franklin Avenue

Garden City, NY 11530

Tel.: (516) 877-1234

E-mail: MWietzke@felaattorney.com

3